

### POLYETHYLENE NETS

The present invention relates to nets made of high density polyethylene (HDPE), such as those typically used for packaging. For transporting containers such as wooden crates or boxes, it is well known to wrap the containers in a web of plastic such as high density polyethylene (HDPE), which may be perforated or in the form of a net. In the 5 present specification, the term "net" means any sheet containing regular apertures or perforations, whether or not it has been woven.

In order to prevent slippage of the containers within the package wrapped by the plastic net, it is desirable that the net has some degree of adhesion to the container surfaces with which it is in contact. It is known to incorporate certain additives into the 10 plastic in order to provide the plastic with a degree of "tackiness". However one disadvantage of such additives is that the tackiness they impart makes processing of the plastic during the manufacture of the nets more difficult.

It is well known to incorporate polyisobutene (PiB) into HDPE, for example as an elastomeric plasticiser, or to improve resistance to stress cracking, or even as a pressure-sensitive adhesive. It is known to incorporate PiB into low density polyethylene 15 (LDPE) and linear low density polyethylene (LLDPE) in order to improve the tackiness of the plastic. However these plastics are not suitable for load-bearing applications such as nets.

We have found that if such nets are made of HDPE, the incorporation of 20 polyisobutene (PiB) into the nets can provide the desired adhesion properties of the final product, without adversely affecting the processing properties of the HDPE during manufacture of the nets.

Accordingly in a first aspect the present invention provides a net for packaging which comprises high density polyethylene and from 0.5 to 10 % by weight of polyisobutene:

It is believed that the advantages of the present invention arise because the added  
5 PiB provides the adhesive properties by its presence on the surface of the HDPE : and that it takes about 48 hours after incorporation into the HDPE to migrate to the surface, and up to 5 days for the maximum level of tackiness to develop. Therefore since the HDPE is compounded and converted into a net in a much shorter time than 48 hours, it does not have any additional adhesive properties during that manufacturing process.

10 In a typical manufacturing process, the HDPE is compounded together with the required amount of PiB, and then extruded or blown to form a film. The film is then cut into tapes which are stretched and then woven or knitted into nets. Alternatively, the compounded HDPE/PiB is extruded into monofilaments or multifilaments, which can be woven into thicker filaments and then stretched and knitted into nets. It is  
15 particularly advantageous for the knitting or weaving process that the HDPE does not have any additional adhesive properties during this step.

The amount of PiB in the HDPE is preferably between 2 and 6% by weight. When the HDPE is blown into a film prior to cutting, typical amounts of PiB are between 3 and 6wt%. If the HDPE is extruded into a film, amounts of PiB are typically  
20 between 2 and 5wt%.

The HDPE film which is to be formed into tapes is typically made by first blending the PiB with the polyethylene in a mixer or compounding extruder and then pelletising. The pellets are then converted into film in the same way as conventional polyethylene. Alternatively, a "Master Batch" of HDPE (or sometimes LDPE)  
25 containing up to 60% by weight PiB is first prepared, and this is compounded with pure HDPE in an amount sufficient to yield the required final concentration of PiB.

The PiB used preferably has a number average molecular weight Mn of between 1000 and 2500. The viscosity at 100°C preferably ranges between 600 and 3000 cSt. Generally, the higher the molecular weight or viscosity of the PiB, the longer the  
30 eventual tackiness takes to appear. The choice of PiB may also depend on the processing temperature; lower processing temperatures generally require lower molecular weight PiBs. For example, blown films typically process at 190-230°C, and

for those, PiBs such as Indopol® H-100 and H-300 available from BP Chemicals may be used. For extruded (slot-cast) film, which can be processed at over 270°C, the higher molecular weight products Indopol® H-1200 H-1500, H-1900 and H-2100 may be used. Indopol® H-300 and H-1200 are most preferred products.

5 Following cooling of the film, it is cut into tapes. The cooling rate of the film can have an effect on the eventual tackiness of the HDPE : generally, the higher the cooling rate the greater the degree of tackiness. Cooling rates above 13°C/second, particularly above 15°C/second, are preferred.

10 Prior to formation into nets, it is preferred that the PiB-containing HDPE is stored at as low a temperature as possible so as to maximise the length of time before the tackiness develops. A preferred storage temperature is below 10°C.

15 The degree of tackiness is typically evaluated by determining two related parameters : peel cling, which measures the ease of peeling apart two surfaces (the separating forces being exerted at 90° to the surfaces), and lap cling, which measures the ease of pulling apart two surfaces where the separating forces are parallel to the surfaces.

Lap cling is measured by determining the load at "break" when two partially overlapping films of the material of predetermined dimensions are separated by holding one film and placing a weight on the other.

## 20 EXAMPLES:

A "Master Batch" of LDPE containing 60% by weight PiB was prepared, and this was compounded with pure HDPE in amounts sufficient to provide three samples containing different concentrations of PiB as follows:

Example 1 – 92wt% HDPE + 8wt% Masterbatch giving 4.8wt% PiB

25 Example 2 – 90wt% HDPE + 10wt% Masterbatch giving 6.0wt% PiB

Example 3 – 88wt% HDPE + 12wt% Masterbatch giving 7.2wt% PiB

These three samples were extruded as films and then evaluated for peel cling and lap cling.

### Peel cling

30 Peel cling measures the ease of peeling apart two surfaces (the separating forces being exerted at 90° to the surfaces).

The films were conditioned at 23°C and at 50% relative humidity for a period of

24 hours prior to the evaluation. Then two 25cm x 15cm (machine direction) sheets were cut from the film and placed exactly on top of one another with their inside surfaces facing, except for a 25cm by 4cm sheet of paper interposed between them along one 25cm edge. The two films were totally crease-free.

5 Seven identical 12.5cm by 2.5 cm portions were then cut from a series of such pairs of films, the pair of films in each portion being separated by the inserted paper at one end only. The seven portions were then placed on top of one another with their paper interleaves at the same end, each portion being separated from the next by a sheet of paper. A 2.16 kg weight was placed on the stack of film pairs for 30 minutes.

10 At the end of the 30 minutes, the force required to separate each film pair by pulling on the ends already separated by paper was determined using an Instron machine. Six pairs were tested, and the peel cling determined as average recorded load x 1000/25. The results (an average of the six tests) are shown in the Table below.

#### Lap cling

15 Lap cling measures the ease of pulling apart two surfaces where the separating forces are parallel to the surfaces.

The films were conditioned at 23°C and at 50% relative humidity for a period of 24 hours prior to the evaluation. Then two 25cm x 15cm (machine direction) sheets were cut from the film, and the first sheet placed, inside surface down, on an aluminium 20 backing plate on which two parallel lines had been scored 1cm apart, such that the sheet covered both lines and its longer side was parallel to the lines. This longer side was trimmed along the first of the lines to make a clean edge ending at that line. Then, with this first sheet lifted off the backing plate where it covered the two lines, the second sheet was placed, inside surface down, on the backing plate opposite the first, again 25 such that the sheet covered both lines and its longer side was parallel to the lines. This longer side was also trimmed along the first of the lines to make a clean edge ending at that line.

Thus with both sheets lying flat on the backing plate, they overlapped by 1cm, the overlap lying exactly between the two scored lines on the backing plate. A 12.5cm x 30 2.5cm portion was then cut from the overlapping films such that the portion of overlap was in the middle of the cut portion. Six such portions were cut, and were prepared by rolling a 2.16kg weight over them six times.

The force required to separate each film pair by pulling on each end was determined using an Instron machine. Six pairs were tested, and the peel cling determined as the average force. The results are shown in the Table below.

Example	lap cling (OP/511)	peel cling
Example 1 – 4.8wt% PiB	0.82 N/mm	0.58 N/m
Example 2 – 6.0wt% PiB	1.17 N/mm	1.54 N/m
Example 3 – 7.2wt% PiB	1.28 N/mm	3.58 N/m

5

These results show that increasing the amount of PiB in the HDPE results in an enhancement of both peel cling and lap cling, which equates to increased tackiness when the HDPE is used to make nets.

10

15

20

25